UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/619,756	07/15/2003	Hagen Eck	09282.0049-00	7390
60668 7590 04/28/2009 SAP / FINNEGAN, HENDERSON LLP 901 NEW YORK AVENUE, NW WASHINGTON, DC 20001, 4412			EXAMINER	
			SAADAT, CAMERON	
WASHINGTON, DC 20001-4413			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3715	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/28/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/619,756	ECK ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	CAMERON SAADAT	3715
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLEWHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING ID. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stature Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tird d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from te, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 L This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is FINAL . Since this application is in condition for allowatelessed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4)	awn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	cepted or b) objected to by the defended or b) for objected to by the defended or by the drawing(s) is objection is required if the drawing(s) is objection is	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applicationity documents have been received au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D: 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate

Application/Control Number: 10/619,756 Page 2

Art Unit: 3715

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 12/29/2008 has been entered. Claims 38-41, 44-51, 54-61, and 64-70 are pending. Claims 1-37, 42-43, 52-53, and 62-63 are cancelled.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 38-41, 44-46, 48-51, 54-56, 58-61, 64-66, and 68-70 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Corn et al. (US 6,987,945; hereinafter Corn).

Regarding claims 38, 48, and 58, Corn discloses a computerized method and system for providing access to an electronic course (links to other web pages 8, 10, 12) hosted by an external system (initial web page 6), comprising: receiving from a server, metadata for a course catalog from the external system; wherein the server sends the course catalog to the client device; presenting the course catalog to a user of the server, wherein the course catalog includes a course description; receiving a user selection of a course; communicating with the external

system to provide the user access to the course (See Col. 7, lines 50-61; Col. 11, lines 4-53); the server transmitting a track command to the external system for tracking the user activity with the course; receiving a response to the track command indicating an amount of time the user spent viewing material in the course. See Col. 11, lines 28-36. Corn additionally discloses the newly claimed feature of receiving at the client device of a course from the course catalog and sending the selection to the server to retrieve the selected course. For example, Corn discloses "a web browser operating on an electronic client device interfaced with a network retrieves the initial web page of an educational provider's website. The initial web page contains references to other web pages containing educational content. A user of the electronic device selects and retrieves one of the other web pages containing educational content the user is interested in reviewing. See Col. 3, lines 10-25.

Regarding claims 39, 49, and 59, Corn discloses a register command that registers a user with the external system. See Fig. 5, register link.

Regarding claims 40, 50, and 60, Corn discloses an enroll command that enrolls the user in a course. See Col. 7, lines 56-59.

Regarding claims 41, 51, and 61 Corn discloses a step of communicating comprising: transmitting a launch command that launches the course. See Fig. 3, refs. 50, 52.

Regarding claims 44, 54, and 64, Corn discloses content of courses hosted by an external system that are stored in servers maintained by the external system 6. See Col. 11, lines 40-45.

Regarding claims 45, 55, and 65, Corn discloses content of the courses displayed on client device 16 in response to a launch command. See Col. 7, lines 3-21.

Regarding claims 46, 56, and 66, Corn discloses a step of communicating including: transmitting commands from the server to the external, system and receiving replies from the external system to the server. See Col. 11, lines 28-36.

Claims 68-70 are rejected for the reasons set forth above with respect to claims 38-41 and 44-46.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 47, 57, and 67 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Corn (US 6,987,945) in view of Linderman (US 2002/0032790)

Corn discloses all of the claimed subject matter with the exception of explicitly disclosing the claimed feature of transmitting commands in accordance with simple object access protocol (SOAP). However, Linderman teaches an object-oriented communications system over the Internet which utilizes SOAP protocol. See Linderman, paragraph 19. Thus, in view of

Linderman, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the protocol described in Corn, by providing SOAP protocol in order to allow easier communication behind proxies and firewalls.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 11/52008 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant emphasizes that claim 38 recites "receiving, at a server, metadata defining a course catalog, from the external system". It is argued by applicant that Corn's web server 4 receives a request for initial web page 6 from electronic device 16; and this does not constitute metadata defining a course catalog. The examiner respectfully disagrees.

Corn discloses a computerized method and system for providing access to an electronic course (links to other web pages 8, 10, 12) hosted by an external system (initial web page 6), comprising: receiving from a server, metadata for a course catalog from the external system; presenting the course catalog to a user of the server, wherein the course catalog includes a course description; receiving a user selection of a course; communicating with the external system to provide the user access to the course (See Col. 7, lines 50-61; Col. 11, lines 4-53). Corn additionally describes the content on the web pages as:

Content (educational content) refers to media content or data such as text, graphics, images and multi-media objects such as sound recordings and moving video clips, as well as executable content such as software code. The educational content may be displayed to a user in many different formats such as question and answers, puzzles, and other formats. The term educational unit as used herein is intended to include content of an educational, advisory or explanatory nature, such as content directed to an educational topic. The educational unit is sized and arranged to be reviewed or studied by itself or in conjunction with other educational units. The educational units are substantially complete parcels of information or content that do not require referral to external resources. For example, the educational unit can contain information pertinent to a particular subject, such that when reviewed, conveys all necessary information for understanding the subject to the user. Alternatively, the educational unit can control the content size by providing

links to other information. The use of links is intended to be encompassed by the educational unit, and still forms a complete content package. The educational units are considered capable of being received alone, and preferably content that is meaningful, beneficial or important to a user. The educational units may be sized for transfer to electronic devices with limited memory. One or more educational units may be combined to form a topic.

Page 6

Therefore it is the examiner's position that Corn discloses the claimed feature of receiving, at a server, metadata defining a course catalog, from the external system"

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to CAMERON SAADAT whose telephone number is (571)272-4443. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:00 - 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Xuan M. Thai can be reached on (571) 272-7147. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Application/Control Number: 10/619,756

Page 7

Art Unit: 3715